

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/060,864	01/29/2002	Steven L. Carson	10559-593001/ P12776	6910
20985	7590 06/18/2003			
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC			EXAMINER	
SUITE 500	CA 02122		WALSH,	JOHN B
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92122		ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	
			3676	
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		10/060,864	CARSON, STEVEN L.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		John B. Walsh	3676			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address			
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Is consistent of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory preto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, n n. a reply within the statutory minimum eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6 statute, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
<u> </u>	on of Claims	•				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the applic					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration	l.			
5) <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.		•			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a on Papers	nd/or election requiremen	t.			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[] a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) approved b	disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.				
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Cee the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).			
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	ı) .		
) \square The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor					
Attachmen		- -				
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) 🔲 Noti	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) r:			
S. Patent and T	rademark Office					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/060,864

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 7, 10 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,087,140 to Linstromberg.

Linstromberg '140 discloses a shelf (15); a body/container (10) having an open side (20); an outer door frame (21); an inner door (24).

As concerns claim 2, a double hinge for pivotally coupling the outer door frame to the body (column 2, line 34).

As concerns claims 3 and 13, the double hinge is secured at a first end to the body and at a second end to the outer door frame (since hinge connects the door frame to the body, the hinge inherently is secured at one end to the body and secured at another end to the outer door frame).

As concerns claim 7, the shelf comprises a ridge on the inner surface of the body (15).

As concerns claim 15, a bolt (26) secures the inner door inside the frame opening to the frame (26 secures the inner door to the frame).

Application/Control Number: 10/060,864 Page 3

Art Unit: 3676

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-6, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,087,140 to Linstromberg as applied to claim 1 above in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,620,344 to Lewis Jr. and U.S. Patent No. 4,704,882 to Takasaki.

Linstromberg '140 does not disclose a bolt for the inner door having an unlocked and locked position and a keyed locking mechanism.

Lewis, Jr. '344 teaches a latch for an inner door (94).

Takasaki '882 teaches a keyed locking mechanism (4).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Linstromberg '140, with a bolt/latch as taught by Lewis, Jr. '344, in order to ensure that the door will remain closed and further modified with a keyed locking mechanism, as taught by Takasaki '882, in order to provide increased security to the contents in the apparatus.

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,087,140 to Linstromberg as applied to claim 1 above in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,132,440 to Johnson.

Application/Control Number: 10/060,864 Page 4

Art Unit: 3676

Linstromberg '140 does not explicitly recite the hinge attached to the top side of the body.

Johnson '440 teaches a hinge (figure 1) mounted on a top side of a door such that the door would rest on the top side of the body in a fully open position (since force is transmitted to the top of the body, therefore allowing the door to rest on the top of the body).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the hinge on the top side of the door so it is connected to the top side of the body, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japiskse, 86 USPQ 70.

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,087,140 to Linstromberg as applied to claim 1 above in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,704,882 to Takasaki.

Linstromberg '140 does not disclose a latch for the outer door frame.

Takasaki '882 teaches a latch (5).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Linstromberg '140, with a latch, as taught by Takasaki '882, in order to ensure that the door will remain closed.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/060,864 Page 5

Art Unit: 3676

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B. Walsh whose telephone number is 703-305-0444. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on 703-308-3179. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-872-9325.

John B. Walsh Patent Examiner

Technology Center 3670

June 12, 2003